

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| 7. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 08/921,060 | 08/29/1997 | DARRELL R. ANDERSON | 012712-432 | 9119 |
| 7590 12/30/2002 PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP | | | ЕХАМІ | NER |
| 1600 TYSONS McLEAN, VA | BOULEVARD | | SCHWADRON, RONALD B | |
| WICEE/III, III | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1644 DATE MAILED: 12/30/2002 | 47 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/921,060

Applicant(s)

. 000

Examiner

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Art Unit **1644**

Anderson et al.



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o | n the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In mailing date of this communication. | io event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | | |
| mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply ar Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action | on is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) X Claim(s) 11-13 and 16-42 | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) | | | | | |
| 7) | | | | | |
| | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of: | | | | | |
| 1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisions | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6) Other: | | | | |

₽ 4.

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

The method of claims 11-13,16-23,25-30,35-40 (method of treating B cell lymphoma) versus the method of claims 24,31-34,41,42 (method of depleting B cells).

These methods recite different intended uses.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (eg. One of said methods) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

2. The following species election is required dependent upon the method elected above. claimed invention.

The method which uses a chimeric antibody containing SEQ. ID. NO:11 or SEQ. ID. NO:7.

These methods recite use of different antibodies (eg. wherein the antibody contains SEQ. ID. NO:11 or SEQ. ID. NO:7).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species (eg. One of said methods) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3

Serial No. 08/921060

Art Unit 1644

عاش به

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

- 5. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 at (703) 308-4242.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ms Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3974. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

POMALD B. SCHWADRON
PEHMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1860 (60)

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644